PEDIATRICS MILESTONES

ACGME Report Worksheet

Not yet Assessable	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5		
	Either gathers too little information or exhaustively gathers information following a template regardless of the patient's chief complaint, with each piece of information gathered seeming as important as the next. Recalls clinical information in the order elicited, with the ability to gather, filter, prioritize, and connect pieces of information being limited by and dependent upon analytic reasoning through basic pathophysiology alone	Clinical experience allows linkage of signs and symptoms of a current patient to those encountered in previous patients. Still relies primarily on analytic reasoning through basic pathophysiology to gather information, but has the ability to link current findings to prior clinical encounters allows information to be filtered, prioritized, and synthesized into pertinent positives and negatives, as well as broad diagnostic categories	Demonstrates an advanced development of pattern recognition that leads to the creation of illness scripts, which allow information to be gathered while simultaneously filtered, prioritized, and synthesized into specific diagnostic considerations. Data gathering is driven by real-time development of a differential diagnosis early in the information-gathering process	Creates well-developed illness scripts that allow essential and accurate information to be gathered and precise diagnoses to be reached with ease and efficiency when presented with most pediatric problems, but still relies on analytic reasoning through basic pathophysiology to gather information when presented with complex or uncommon problems	Creates robust illness scripts and instance scrip (where the specific features of individual patients are remembered and used in future clinical reasoning) that lead to unconscious gathering of essential and accurate information in a targeted and efficient manner who presented with all but the most complex or rare clinical problems. These illness and instance script are robust enough to enable discrimination among diagnoses with subtle distinguishing features		

Not yet assessable		Level 1			Leve	el 2			Lev	vel 3			Le	vel 4			Leve	l 5	
	Struggles to care respons focusing car patients rath patients; resprioritized a unanticipate (those responses at the highest prioritier prolong to a prolong break in that the interrupt to initial task unlikely	sibilities, leade on individual ner than must ponsibilities a reaction ed needs that pushibilities he most siguine are giverity); even so in task ofted or permattask to attion, making	ding to ual ltiple s are to at arise mificant en the mall en lead anent end to g return	simult patier occasi patier respondenticipe ach a interrito not efficie effect perma with in comm	izes the caneous conts with elements on the care in task and th	officient ioritize to re need I patied work I reases ability pritize; eaks in ons are prolong	ds; nt or leads in to task e less	simul patie routii care i proad futur care i decre abilit priori patie large perce priori task a only i break	nizes the taneous nts with nely prior esponsible ases in eactive only nt volum or there eption of ties; interes in task load or ch	care of efficien ritizes polities to ticipate addition oilities lefficience tively when e is quities a comperention tized ar rolonge when	cy; patient co e nal ead to cy and te ting ns in nd	Organi respon efficier a large with m patient respon prioriti preven emerge care th anticip in task breaks situatio	sibilition volum arked t care sibilition zed to t those ent issuat can ated; i lead to in task	es to op ovides of e of parefficien es are proactie urgen ues in p be nterrup o only b	ctimize care to tients cy; vely t and atient tions rief	efficier respon prioriti preven routine care th anticip interru prioriti safe an multita respon	as a role acy; patie sibilities a zed to pro t interrup aspects at can be ated; una ptions ar zed to ma d effectiv sking of sibilities i ally all sit	nt car are oactivo of pat voida e aximiz ve	e ely ier ble

Not yet Assessable	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
	Demonstrates variability in transfer of information (content, accuracy, efficiency, and synthesis) from one patient to the next; makes frequent errors of both omission and commission in the hand-off	Uses a standard template for the information provided during the handoff; is unable to deviate from that template to adapt to more complex situations; may have errors of omission or commission, particularly when clinical information is not synthesized; neither anticipates nor attends to the needs of the receiver of information	Adapts and applies a standardized template, relevant to individual contexts, reliably and reproducibly, with minimal errors of omission or commission; allows ample opportunity for clarification and questions; is beginning to anticipate potential issues for the transferee	Adapts and applies a standard template to increasingly complex situations in a broad variety of settings and disciplines; ensures open communication, whether in the receiver- or the provider-of-information role, through deliberative inquiry, including readbacks, repeat-backs (provider), and clarifying questions (receivers)	Adapts and applies the template without error and regardless of setting of complexity; internalizes the professional responsibility aspect of hand-off communication, as evidenced by formal ar explicit sharing of the conditions of transfer (e.g time and place) and communication of those conditions to patients, families, and other members of the health care team

Not yet Assessable	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
	Recalls and presents clinical facts in the history and physical in the order they were elicited without filtering, reorganization, or synthesis; demonstrates analytic reasoning through basic pathophysiology results in a list of all diagnoses considered rather than the development or working diagnostic considerations, making it difficult to develop a therapeutic plan	making a unifying diagnosis elusive and leading to a continual search for new diagnostic possibilities; largely uses analytic reasoning through basic pathophysiology in	Abstracts and reorganizes elicited clinical findings in memory, using semantic qualifiers (such as paired opposites that are used to describe clinical information [e.g., acute and chronic]) to compare and contrast the diagnoses being considered when presenting or discussing a case; shows the emergence of pattern recognition in diagnostic and therapeutic reasoning that often results in a well-synthesized and organized assessment of the focused differential diagnosis and management plan	Reorganizes and stores clinical information (illness and instance scripts) that lead to early directed diagnostic hypothesis testing with subsequent history, physical examination, and tests used to confirm this initial schema; demonstrates well-established pattern recognition that leads to the ability to identify discriminating features between similar patients and to avoid premature closure; Selects therapies that are focused and based on a unifying diagnosis, resulting in an effective and efficient diagnostic work-up and management plan tailored to address the individual patient	Current literature does not distinguish betwee behaviors of proficient and expert practitioner Expertise is not an expectation of GME training, as it requires deliberate practice ove time

Not yet assessable	Level 1	Level 2			Lev	el 3			Le	vel 4			Lev	el 5	
	Develops and carries out management plans based on directives from others, either from the health care organization or the supervising physician; is unable to adjust plans based on individual patient differences or preferences; communication about the plan is unidirectional from the practitioner to the patient and family	Develops and carries our management plans base on one's theoretical knowledge and/or directives from others; or adapt plans to the individual patient, but of within the framework of one's own theoretical knowledge; is unable to focus on key information so conclusions are often from arbitrary, poorly prioritized, and timelimited information gathering; develops management plans base on the framework of one own assumptions and values	d an nly :	mana on bo knowl exper mana proble care in as a m good as an sancti effect focus but st time a begin: patier values more	ops and of gement path theore in the comment of the	plans basetical disome pecially amon pows head in direct habit all rather to more lefficient formate limite enience porate mptions in throonal	r in alth ives nd chan sed ore ntly ion, d by e;	manag most o effectiv focuse to arriv incorpo assum throug	ement ften or vely an s on ke ve at a prates ptions h bidir unications	patient and val ectiona on with	pased ience; ently mation s' ues I	manage for consituation expended theoret context key infat the that we inform insight assume that all them of patient bidirections.	pps and of the manual control of the manual	olans, e d or rar ed prim that pu owledge by focus on to ari d augmo able s neede e's owr nd valu to filte focus of values onversa	wer e lari lats les in es r es r of the in a
															П

Not yet Assessable	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
	Explains basic principles of Evidence-based Medicine (EBM), but relevance is limited by lack of clinical exposure	Recognizes the importance of using current information to care for patients and responds to external prompts to do so; is able to formulate questions with some difficulty, but is not yet efficient with online searching; is starting to learn critical appraisal skills	Able to identify knowledge gaps as learning opportunities; makes an effort to ask answerable questions on a regular basis and is becoming increasingly able to do so; understands varying levels of evidence and can utilize advanced search methods; is able to critically appraise a topic by analyzing the major outcomes, however, may need guidance in understanding the subtleties of the evidence; begins to seek and apply evidence when needed, not just when assigned to do so	Is increasingly self- motivated to learn more, as exhibited by regularly formulating answerable questions; incorporates use of clinical evidence in rounds and teaches fellow learners; is quite capable with advanced searching; is able to critically appraise topics and does so regularly; shares findings with others to try to improve their abilities; practices EBM because of the benefit to the patient and the desire to learn more rather than in response to external prompts	Teaches critical appraisal of topics to others; strives for change at the organizational level as dictated by best current information; is able to easily formulate answerable clinical questions and does so wis majority of patients as a habit; is able to effectivel and efficiently search and access the literature; is seen by others as a role model for practicing EBM
	Example: The senior resident asks each member of the inpatient team to answer a clinical question that he raised during rounds and to be prepared to discuss it	Example: In response to a clinical question raised during rounds and the senior resident's request that everyone answer the question, the learner is	Example: In response to the clinical question raised during rounds, the learner develops an answerable clinical question in PICO format and efficiently searches for best evidence.	Example: In response to the clinical question raised during rounds, presents a second question that he has already researched in a PICO format as well as a	Example: Is an EBM practitioner, as observed by conversation during rounds, whom others try to emulate. He enjoys teaching colleague how to become EBM practitioners by role

Version 12/2012

	the next morn	ing. The led	arner	able,	with so	оте	diffic	ulty,	Н	e vol	lunteei	rs to	pres	ent	cri	tique	of the	he ev	viden	се	m	odelii	ng. He l	help	s te	am
	goes to a mor	e senior col	league	to fra	me the	e que	estion	ı in a	0	n rou	ınds th	e ne.	xt da	y and	an	d its	appli	icabi	lity t	o the	m	embe	ers deve	?lop	ana	1
	for help, since	he cannot	work	Popul	ation-	Inter	venti	ion-	d	emoi	nstrate	s eff	ectiv	<i>ie</i>	cu	rrent	t patie	ent.	Не w	as	re	efine t	heir ski	lls u	sing	j his
	through a cas	e or article	using	Comp	arison	-Out	come	2	a	nalyt	ic skill	s and	the		mo	otiva	ted to	o be	proa	ctive	ex	xperti	se to m	ake	а	
	the critical ap	praisal appi	roach,	(PICO) form	at. H	le has	S	a	bility	to ap	oly hi	s fin	dings	by	his i	ntere	st in	lear	ning,	di	ifficult	t task p	ract	ical	and
	mainly due to	lack of clini	ical	searc	hing co	apab	ility,	but	to	the	currer	it pa	tient	:. Не	as	well	as th	e ne	eds (of his	de	oable.				
	context from t	which to wo	ork.	the se	earch a	ınd t	he st	eps of	h	as a	bit of a	diffici	ılty		ра	tient	:. He s	shar	es his	5						
				analy	zing aı	nd a _l	oplyir	ng the	in	iterp	reting	and	apply	ying	ta	ctics	with	tean	n me	mbers						
				evide	nce are	e tim	ie-		sc	ome	of the	seco	ndar	y			_			steps						
					sive, sc						mes ar	,				_	-			n and						
					red to						xt of th				ар	ply t	his in	form	atio	n.						
				_	gs on I	roun	ds th	e next			er que															
				morn	ing.						he vol			0												
		_		<u> </u>					Se	earch	and c	insw	er.		Щ										_	
Comments:																										

Not yet Assessable	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
	Performs the role of medical decision-maker, developing care plans and setting goals of care independently; informs patient/family of the plan, but no written care plan is provided; makes referrals, and requests consultations and testing with little or no communication with team members or consultants; is not involved in the transition of care between settings (e.g., outpatient and inpatient, pediatric and adult); shows little or no recognition of social/educational/cultural issues affecting the patient/family	Begins to involve the patient/family in setting care goals and some of the decisions involved in the care plan; a written care plan is occasionally made available to the patient/family; care plan does not address key issues; has variable communication with team members and consultants regarding referrals, consultations, and testing; answers patient/family questions regarding results and recommendations; may inconsistently be involved in the transition of care between settings (e.g., outpatient and inpatient, pediatric and adult); makes some assessment of social/educational/cultural issues affecting the patient/family and applies this in interactions	Recognizes the responsibility to assist families in navigation of the complex health care system; frequently involves patient/family in decisions at all levels of care, setting goals, and defining care plans; frequently makes a written care plan available to the patient/family and to appropriately authorized members of the care team; care plan omits few key issues; has good communication with team members and consultants; consistently discusses results and recommendations with patient/family; is routinely involved in the transition of care between settings (e.g., outpatient and inpatient, pediatric and adult); considers social, educational and cultural issues in most care interactions	Actively assists families in navigating the complex health care system; has open communication, facilitating trust in the patient-physician interaction; develops goals and makes decisions jointly with the patient/family (shared-decision-making); routinely makes a written care plan available to the patient/family and to appropriately authorized members of the care team; makes a thorough care plan, addressing all key issues; facilitates care through consultation, referral, testing, monitoring, and follow-up, helping the family to interpret and act on results/recommendations; coordinates seamless transitions of care between settings (e.g., outpatient and inpatient, pediatric and adult; mental	Current literature does not distinguish between behaviors of proficient and expert practitioners Expertise is not an expectation of GME training, as it requires deliberate practice over time

Version 12	/2012
------------	-------

			and dental health; education; housing; food security; family-to-family support); builds partnerships that foster family-centered, culturally- effective care, ensuring communication and collaboration along the continuum of care	
Comments:			·	

Not yet Assessable	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
	Attends to medical needs of individual patient(s); wants to take good care of patients and takes action for individual patients' health care needs	Demonstrates recognition that an individual patient's issues are shared by other patients, that there are systems at play, and that there is a need for quality improvement of those systems; acts on the observed need to assess and improve quality of care	Acts within the defined medical role to address an issue or problem that is confronting a cohort of patients; may enlist colleagues to help with this problem	Actively participates in hospital-initiated quality improvement and safety actions; demonstrates a desire to have an impact beyond the hospital walls	Identifies and acts to begi the process of improvement projects both inside the hospital and within one's practice community
	Example: Sees a child with a firearm injury and provides good care.	Example: A physician notes on rounds, "We have sent home four-to-five firearminjury patients and one has come back with repeated injury. We need to do something about that."	Example: The physician works with colleagues to develop an approach, protocol, or procedure for improving care for penetrating trauma injury in children and measures the outcomes of system changes.	Example: The physician attends a hospital symposium on gun-related trauma and what can be done about it and then arranges to speak on gun safety at the local meeting of the parentteachers association.	Example: Upon completion of quali improvement project, the physician works on new proposed legislation and testifies in City Council.

Not yet Assessable	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
	Seeks answers and responds to authority from only intraprofessional colleagues; does not recognize other members of the interdisciplinary team as being important or making significant contributions to the team; tends to dismiss input from other professionals aside from other physicians	Is beginning to have an understanding of the other professionals on the team, especially their unique knowledge base, and is open to their input, however, still acquiesces to physician authorities to resolve conflict and provide answers in the face of ambiguity; is not dismissive of other health care professionals, but is unlikely to seek out those individuals when confronted with ambiguous situations	Aware of the unique contributions (knowledge, skills, and attitudes) of other health care professionals, and seeks their input for appropriate issues, and as a result, is an excellent team player	Same as Level 3, but an individual at this stage understands the broader connectivity of the professions and their complementary nature; recognizes that quality patient care only occurs in the context of the interprofessional team; serves as a role model for others in interdisciplinary work and is an excellent team leader	Current literature does not distinguish between behaviors of proficient and expert practitioner Expertise is not an expectation of GME training, as it requires deliberate practice over time

Not yet Assessable	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5		
	The learner acknowledges external assessments, but understanding of his performance is superficial and limited to the overall grade or bottom line; has little understanding of how the performance measure relates in a meaningful way to his specific level of Knowledge, Skills and Attitudes (KSA)	Assessment of performance is seen as being able to do or not do the task at hand without appreciation for how well it is done and whether there is a need to improve the outcome	Prompts for understanding specifics of level of performance are internal and may be identified in response to uncertainty, discomfort, or tension in completing clinical duties; evidence of this stage is demonstrated by active questioning and application of knowledge in developing a rationale for care plans or in teaching activities	Prompted by anticipation or contemplation of potential clinical problems, the learner self-identifies gaps in KSA through reflection that assesses current KSA versus understanding of underlying basic science or pathophysiologic principles to generate new questions about limitations or mastery of KSA; evidence of this stage can be determined by the advanced nature and level of questioning or resource seeking	Prompted by a self-directed goal of improving the professional self, the practitioner anticipates hypothetical clinical scenarios that build on current experience and systematically addresses identified gaps to enhance the level of KSA; elaborate questioning occurs to further explore gaps and strengths		
	Example: During a semiannual review, a learner is unable to describe in any specific terms how he has performed when asked to do so by his mentor. In response, the mentor reviews and interprets the learner's evaluations and then asks the learner to reflect on the discussion. The learner repeats the language used and recites the overall score/grade	Example: The learner seeks external assessment of performance as ability "to do" or "not able to do" with little understanding of what the assessment means. "Are these orders written correctly?" "Did I do that correctly?" Seeks feedback approval on whether KSA were "right" or "wrong."	Example: Learner requests elaboration, clarification, or expansion on patient- care related task. "Why would we use this antibiotic for this condition?" or "The patient has underlying condition x. Does that alter therapy y for this patient?" or "I think we should order study w	Example: In caring for a patient with an illness not previously encountered, this practitioner says, "I have experience taking care of patients with this acute illness but have never had a patient with this acute illness who also had this particular underlying condition and wonder if	Example: In caring for a patient, a practitioner becomes aware of a gap in KSA, and in response (with or without consultation from a mentor) seeks to understand more about thidentified KSA gap. A PICO formatted question (P = Patient, I = Intervention, C = Comparison, O =		

Version 12/2012

	without interpretation of further meaning or inference regarding the reported performance assessment	Does not seek "How?" or "Why?" as part of request for feedback to assist identification of KSA.	for this patient, since sometimes this disease presents with underlying condition z."	the chronic condition might alter his clinical course?"	Outcome) is constructed, followed by a process of identification of learning needed.		
Comments:							

Not yet Assessable	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
	Sets learning activities based on readily available curricular materials, irrespective of learning style, preferences, appropriateness of activity, or any outcome measures	Well-defined goals are mapped to appropriate learning activities and resources based on assigned curriculum; assignment may be part of a teacher-constructed curriculum, or part of a prescribed curriculum offered by others, or sought by the learner in response to a performance gap	Learning resources are sought based on analysis of learning needs assessment and constructed goals, and with consideration of the nature of the learning content and method	Consideration of choice of activities is based on instructional methods that are known to be effective in the development of the relevant knowledge content, application of that knowledge, and development of skills or behaviors; learning takes place through collaborative interface with experts in which learning activities sought are ones that allow for constant course correction and interactive sharing of alternative perspectives and differing lenses	Seeking resources to learn is undertaken with high efficiency and effectiveness, with open and flexible inclusion of the influences from outside sources (including regulatory and oversight groups); fruitful pathways and resources for learning are readily shared with peers and self-assessment of learning drives further resource seeking
	Example: After realizing a need to better understand what medications should be used in the management of a clinic patient with moderate asthma, the learner asks a peer who is working with him in clinic rather than pursuing the references suggested by his clinic preceptor.	Example: A learner reads cases assigned for primary care in advance of coming to a scheduled clinic session where a discussion of the cases is to take place. Others have not read the case, and after the session the resident is left wondering about the case and its relevance to overall	Example: Having failed at intubation in the delivery room, the learner goes back to the simulation lab to receive further training on intubation with the manikin (and does not simply reread the Neonatal Resuscitation Protocol10).	Example: A learner is planning an advocacy workshop for parents of children with complex medical needs to improve their skills with managing medical devices. In the process of preparing for this workshop, he discovers that there is an in-service for parents of hospitalized patients in	Example: The learner seeks to expand the types of device discussed in the workshop and looks to the work published by the Institute of Medicine Committee or Safe Medical Devices for Children.11 He decides to pursue resources (experts in the field) to see if it would be possible to learn how to provide the

Version 12/2012

	learning. The case is part of	how to care for devices and instructional materials,
	a core curriculum with	participates in this learning plans, and workshops to
	learning goals and	activity. Through this in- parents throughout the
	objectives. Later, in clinic a	service, he identifies state.
	patient presents with a	written resources, models
	problem similar to last	useful for demonstrations,
	week's case discussion, and	and video-recorded
	the learner is able to go	illustrations of anticipated
	back to that case to glean	complications with device
	further information on how	use. He chooses to conduct
	to manage the patient.	a practice rehearsal with
		some families in the
		inpatient setting, with
		course correction from the
		hospital's nurse-educator.
Comments:		

Not yet Assessable	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
	Unable to gain insight from encounters due to a lack of reflection on practice; does not understand the principles of quality improvement methodology or change management; is defensive when faced with data on performance improvement opportunities within one's practice	Able to gain insight from reflection on individual patient encounters, but potential improvements are limited by a lack of systematic improvement strategies and team approach; is dependent upon external prompts to define improvement opportunities at the population level	Able to gain insight for improvement opportunities from reflection on both individual patients and populations; grasps improvement methodologies enough to apply to populations; is still reliant on external prompts to inform and prioritize improvement opportunities at the population level	Able to use both individual encounters and population data to drive improvement using improvement methodology; analyzes one's own data on a continuous basis, without reliance on external forces, to prioritize improvement efforts, and uses that analysis in an iterative process for improvement; is able to lead a team in improvement	In addition to demonstrating continuous improvement activities as appropriately utilizing quality improvement methodologies, thinks an acts systemically to try to use one's own successes benefit other practices, systems, or populations; open to analysis that at times requires course correction to optimize improvement
Comments:					

Not yet Assessable	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
	Has difficulty in considering others' points of view when these differ from his or her own, leading to defensiveness and inability to receive feedback and/or avoidance of feedback; demonstrates a limited incorporation of formative feedback into daily practice	Is dependent on external sources of feedback for improvement; is beginning to acknowledge other points of view, but reinterprets feedback in a way that serves his or her own need for praise or consequence avoidance, rather than informing a personal quest for improvement; little to no behavioral change occurs in response to feedback (e.g., listens to feedback but takes away only those messages he or she wants to hear)	Understands others' points of view and changes behavior to improve specific deficiencies that are noted by others (e.g., understands that the perceptions of others are important even when those perceptions are different from his or her own, (such as when a nurse interprets a response as abrupt when it was not intended to be) causing the learner to examine what prompted this perception)	Internal sources of feedback allow for insight into limitations and engagement in self-regulation; improves daily practice based on both external formative feedback and internal insights (e.g., is able to point out what went well and what did not go well in a given encounter, and makes positive changes in behavior as a result)	Demonstrates profession maturity and deep emotional commitment that lead to deliberate practice and result in the habits of continuous reflection, self-regulation and internal feedback and that lead to continuous improvement beyond a focus solely on deficiencies.

Not yet Assessable	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Is a proactive advocate on behalf of individual patients, families, and groups of children in need		
	Sees the patients in a "we versus they" framework and is detached and not sensitive to the human needs of the patient and family	Demonstrates compassion for patients in selected situations (e.g., tragic circumstances, such as unexpected death), but has a pattern of conduct that demonstrates a lack of sensitivity to many of the needs of others	Demonstrates consistent understanding of patient and family expressed needs and a desire to meet those needs on a regular basis; is responsive in demonstrating kindness and compassion	Is altruistic and goes beyond responding to expressed needs of patients and families; anticipates the human needs of patients and families and works to meet those needs as part of his skills in daily practice			

Not yet Assessable	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5			
	Appears to be interested in learning pediatrics but not fully engaged and involved as a professional, which results in an observational or passive role	Although the learner appreciates her role in providing care and being a professional, at times has difficulty in seeing self as a professional, which may result in not taking appropriate primary responsibility	Demonstrates understanding and appreciation of the professional role and the gravity of being the "doctor" by becoming fully engaged in patient care activities; has a sense of duty; has rare lapses into behaviors that do not reflect a professional self-view	Has internalized and accepts full responsibility of the professional role and develops fluency with patient care and professional relationships in caring for a broad range of patients and team members	Extends professional role beyond the care of patients and sees self as professional who is contributing to somethin larger (e.g., a community specialty, or the medical profession)			

Not yet Assessable	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5		
	Has repeated lapses in professional conduct wherein responsibility to patients, peers, and/or the program are not met. These lapses may be due to an apparent lack of insight about the professional role and expected behaviors or other conditions or causes (e.g., depression, substance use, poor health)	Under conditions of stress or fatigue, has documented lapses in professional conduct that lead others to remind, enforce, and resolve conflicts; may have some insight into behavior, but an inability to modify behavior when placed in stressful situations	In nearly all circumstances, conducts interactions with a professional mindset, sense of duty, and accountability; has insight into his or her own behavior, as well as likely triggers for professionalism lapses, and is able to use this information to remain professional	Demonstrates an in-depth understanding of professionalism that allows her to help other team members and colleagues with issues of professionalism; is able to identify potential triggers, and uses this information to prevent lapses in conduct as part of her duty to help others	Others look to this person as a model of profession and peers; maintains high ethical standards across settings and circumstances; has excellent emotional intelligence about human behavior and insight into self, and uses this information to promote and engage in profession behavior as well as to prevent lapses in others and self		

Not yet Assessable	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5				
	Has a lack of insight into limitations that results in the need for help going unrecognized, sometimes resulting in unintended consequences	Shows concern that limitations may be seen as weaknesses that will negatively impact evaluations results in helpseeking behaviors, typically only in response to external prompts rather than internal drive	Recognizes limitations, but has the perception that autonomy is a key element of one's identity as a physician, and the need to emulate this behavior to belong to the profession may interfere with internal drive to engage in appropriate help-seeking behavior	Recognizes limitations and has matured to the stage where a personal value system of help-seeking for the sake of the patient supersedes any perceived value of physician autonomy, resulting in appropriate requests for help when needed	Beyond recognizing limitations, has the personal drive to learn and improve results in the habit of engaging in helpseeking behaviors and explicitly role modeling and encouraging these behaviors in residents				

Not yet Assessable	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
	Has significant knowledge gaps or is unaware of knowledge gaps and demonstrates lapses in data-gathering or in follow-through of assigned tasks; may misrepresent data (for a number of reasons) or omit important data, leaving others uncertain as to the nature of the learner's truthfulness or awareness of the importance of attention to detail and accuracy; overt lack of truth-telling is assessed in a professionalism competency	Has a solid foundation in knowledge and skill, but is not always aware of or seeks help when confronted with limitations; demonstrates lapses in follow-up or follow-through with tasks, despite awareness of the importance of these tasks; follow-through can be partial, but limited due to inconsistency or yielding to barriers; when such barriers are experienced, no escalation occurs (such as notifying others or pursuing alternative solutions)	Has a solid foundation in knowledge and skill with realistic insight into limits with responsive help seeking; data-gathering is complete with consideration of anticipated patient care needs, and careful consideration of high-risk conditions first and foremost; requires little prompting for follow-up	Has a broad scope of knowledge and skill and assumes full responsibility for all aspects of patient care, anticipating problems and demonstrating vigilance in all aspects of management; pursues answers to questions, and communications include open, transparent expression of uncertainty and limits of knowledge	Same as Level 4, but any uncertainty brings about rigorous search for answers and conscientious and ongoing review of information to address the evolution of change; may seek the help of a master in addition to primary source literature
	Example: A learner calls his supervisor at	Example: On hand-over of patients	Example: Presentation of a patient	Example: An individual possesses the	Example: This is the practitioner wh

he admitted. results are m presentation requests that this critical in report back. on rounds, th again questic laboratory vo that the resu is unable to li in his paperw D-2, C-1, T-2 KSA= Know attitude D= Discern C= Conscie T= Truth te	issing in the and the sup the learner of the learne	ervisor seek nd s later is he ports al, but results	are ide follow during follow service to the severa either compl the sig questi tasks, individe things forgot excuse aware expect	team, seentified of the next ing day, the is hand original all of the second about the night were but the indicate eness of the	as need omplet of shift. when ded bad learned to be tasked to the court the court the court the sanothing anothe the cut failu	ding The The the ck over er, s were not eed in n esse that	with property posting appunation of the example of the learn re-control of the property of the	nout the mpting ed by to consult reciate erstand ase providual sin his eful at accur history minati sented service ner ne	te need to the lead to the leads reds reds reds reds reds reds reds re	stions irner al cearner' of the and the reness vledge. In to de e evide ohysica	llow 's e of tail ent in ul the	rounds pertine presen membe inquiry and vig status unexpl labora examin reporte change meanin concer	ent dat ted by ers (ass gilance uncove ained f tory or nation. ed to st e with u	a not other t sertive stant re of pati ers findings physic Finding upervis un-iden I poten	eview ient s on al gs are ors as atified	when that h exemp when patier relieve practi becau much search answe report impor	handing the will repolary can there is at, collected when tioner is the typical for and its back to the total for and its back to the typical for a typical for the typical for a typical for a typical for a typical for the typical for a ty	re. In fac a compl agues ard this on-call pically ir d energy needed meticulo on all velopme	atient t, ex e ovests v in usly
Number re	fers to nance level	(1-5)																	
p 00		/														\vdash			

PROF6. The capacity to accept that ambiguity is part of clinical medicine and to recognize the need for and to utilize appropriate resources in dealing with uncertainty

Not yet					
Assessable	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
	Feels overwhelmed and	Recognizes uncertainty and	Anticipates and focuses on	Anticipates that	Is aware of and keeps own
	inadequate when faced with	feels tension/pressure	uncertainty, looking for	uncertainty at the time of	risk aversion or risk-taking
	uncertainty or ambiguity;	from not knowing or	resolution by seeking	diagnostic deliberation will	position in check; seeks to
	communications with	knowing with limited	additional information;	be likely; uses such	understand patient/family
	patients/families and	control of outcomes;	aims to inform the patient	uncertainty or larger	goals for health and their
	development of therapeutic	explains situation to the	of the more optimal	ambiguity as a	capacity to achieve those
	plan are rigid and authoritarian,	patient in framework most	outcome(s), framed by	prompt/motivation to seek	goals, given the uncertain
	with assumption that the	familiar to the physician,	physician goals; does not	information or	treatment options;
	patient can manage	rather than framing it with	manage overall balance of	understanding of unknown	engages in discussion with
	information and participate in	terms, graphics, or	patient/family uncertainty	(to self or world); balances	high sensitivity towards
	decision-making; patient/family	analogies familiar to the	with quality of life, need	delivery of diagnosis with	numeracy, emphasizing
	numeracy presumed; seeks	patient; seeks rules and	for hope, and ability to	hope, information, and	patient/family control of
	only self or self-available	statistics and feels	adhere to therapeutic	exploration of individual	choices with initial plan
	resources to manage response	compelled to transfer all	plan; focuses on own risk	patient goals; works	development and ongoing
	to this uncertainty, resulting in	information to the patient	management position for a	through concepts of risk	information sharing
	a response characterized by	immediately, regardless of	given problem and does	versus hope using	through changes as
	their (individual) preexisting	patient readiness, patient	not suggest that more or	conceptual framework that	knowledge and patient
	state of risk aversion or risk	goals, and patient ability to	less risk taking (different	includes cost (e.g.,	health status evolve;
	taking; does not regard patient	manage information	from physician's position)	suffering, lifestyle changes,	remains flexible and
	need for hope; feels compelled		could be chosen; still seeks	financial) versus benefit,	committed to engagement
	to make sure that patients		patient/parent recitation	framed by patient health	with the patient/family
	understand full potential for		of uncertainty/morbidity	care goals; expresses	throughout the patient's
	negative outcome		as proof that	openness to patient	illness, serving as a
	(defensive/protective of		patient/family understands	position and patient	resource to gather
	physician)		the uncertainty; has an	uncertainty about his or	information so that degree
			unresolved balance of	her position and response	of uncertainty is
			expectations with		minimized; openly and
			physician expectations		comfortably discusses
			taking precedence		strategies and outcomes

/ersion 12/2012															
											pa er im st ba re ur de ac ur cc	atient, mphase subj nperfe ate of alance visitin ncerta evelop ccepta nknow	ted with /family, sizing that ject to the ct knowl uncertains s constains of know inty, and ped plans nce of w in; transp nication ent plans	t all e edge nty; nt wled hat i parer	plans e and lge, s nt mits of

Comments:

ICS1. Communicate effectively with patients, families, and the public, as appropriate, across a broad range of socioeconomic and cultural backgrounds

Not yet Assessable	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
	Uses standard medical interview template to prompt all questions; does not vary the approach based on a patient's unique physical, cultural, socioeconomic, or situational needs; may feel intimidated or uncomfortable asking personal questions of patients	Uses the medical interview to establish rapport and focus on information exchange relevant to a patient's or family's primary concerns; identifies physical, cultural, psychological, and social barriers to communication, but often has difficulty managing them; begins to use non-judgmental questioning scripts in response to sensitive situations	Uses the interview to effectively establish rapport; is able to mitigate physical, cultural, psychological, and social barriers in most situations; verbal and non-verbal communication skills promote trust, respect, and understanding; develops scripts to approach most difficult communication scenarios	Uses communication to establish and maintain a therapeutic alliance; sees beyond stereotypes and works to tailor communication to the individual; a wealth of experience has led to development of scripts for the gamut of difficult communication scenarios; is able to adjust scripts ad hoc for specific encounters	Connects with patients and families in an authentic manner that fosters a trusting and loyal relationship; effectively educates patients, families, and the public as part of all communication; intuitively handles the gamut of difficult communication scenarios with grace and humility

Comments:

ICS2. Demonstrate the insight and understanding into emotion and human response to emotion that allows one to appropriately develop and manage human interactions

behavioral cues and may limited range of medical communication scenarios, new or unexpected foster therapeutic	Not yet Assessable	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
		or read others' emotions in verbal and non-verbal communication; is unaware of one's own emotional and behavioral cues and may transmit emotions in communication (e.g., anxiety, exuberance, anger) that can precipitate unintended emotional responses in others; does not effectively manage strong emotions in oneself or	experiences to anticipate and read (in real time) the emotional responses in himself and others across a limited range of medical communication scenarios, but does not yet have the ability or insight to moderate behavior to effectively manage the emotions; strong emotions in oneself and others may	reacts to emotions in real time with appropriate and professional behavior in nearly all typical medical communication scenarios, including those evoking very strong emotions; uses these abilities to gain and maintain therapeutic	uses, and manages emotions in a broad range of medical communication scenarios and learns from new or unexpected emotional experiences; effectively manages own emotions appropriately in all situations; effectively and consistently uses emotions to gain and maintain therapeutic alliances with others; is perceived as a humanistic	understands, uses, and manages emotions to improve the health and well-being of others and to foster therapeutic relationships in any and all situations; is seen as an authentic role model of

Comments: